



READERS IN *Bahá'í*
PHILOSOPHY AND THEOLOGY

by Ian Kluge

GLOBAL FAITH BOOK SERIES

GLOBAL FAITH BOOK SERIES

**READERS IN BAHÁ'Í
PHILOSOPHY AND THEOLOGY**

by Ian Kluge

GLOBAL FAITH BOOK SERIES

Sponsored by Center for Global Philosophy and Religion

FOUNDING EDITOR

Dr. Mikhail Sergeev, Temple University, Philadelphia.

INTERNATIONAL EDITORIAL BOARD

Necati Alcan, Ph.D., Bamberg University, (*Germany*)

Arthur Dahl, Ph.D., International Environment Forum, (*Switzerland*)

Graham Hassall, Ph.D., independent scholar, (*Australia*)

Youli Ioannesian, Ph.D., Institute of Oriental Manuscripts of RAS, (*Russia*)

Moshe Sharon, Ph.D., Hebrew University of Jerusalem (*Israel*)

Peter Smith, Ph.D., independent scholar, (*Thailand*)

Robert Stockman, Th.D., Indiana University South Bend (*USA*)

ADVISORY EDITORIAL COUNCIL

Jean-Marc Lepain, scholar of philosophy and Persian studies specializing in philosophy of science (*France*)

Jack (John Allan) McLean, an independent scholar and poet with research interests in Baha'i theology, spirituality, and the writings of Shoghi Effendi (*Canada*)

Julio Savi, scholar of philosophy with book publications in epistemology, ethics, and philosophy of religion (*Italy*)

Peter Terry, adult educator, author on religious topics, contributor to academic discourse on the Bahá'í Faith (*USA*)



Center for Global Philosophy and Religion is a research organization that specializes in the study of philosophy and religion from a global perspective.



READERS IN BAHÁ'Í PHILOSOPHY AND THEOLOGY

by Ian Kluge

Editors: M. W. Thomas and Dr. Y. I. Woźniak



BOSTON • 2026

IAN KLUGE

Readers in Bahá'í Philosophy and Theology

(Global Faith Book Series. Vol. 6)

Compiler: Mikhail Sergeev

Editors: M. W. Thomas and Dr. Y. I. Woźniak

DISCLAIMER:

Bahá'í texts used with permission of the copyright holder,
the National Spiritual Assembly of the Bahá'ís of the United States.

Copyright © 2000–2023 by Ian Kluge

Copyright © 2026 by M•GRAPHICS

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the copyright holder(s), except for the brief passages quoted for review.

ISBN 978-1-970342-41-3

Library of Congress Control Number: 2026945939

Published by M•GRAPHICS | BOSTON, MA

 www.mgraphics-book.com

 mgraphics.books@gmail.com

On the Cover:

Paul Klee, 1922, *Senecio*, oil on gauze, 40.3 × 37.4 cm,
Kunstmuseum Basel. Public domain, Wikimedia Commons

Printed in the U.S.A.

For Kirsti Kluge

CONTENTS

COMPILER'S NOTE	xi
-----------------	----

PREFACE. IAN KLUGE—A BAHÁ'Í PHILOSOPHER	xiii
---	------

A READER IN BAHÁ'Í PHILOSOPHY

BAHÁ'U'LLÁH'S TOOLBOX: THE PHILOSOPHICAL CONCEPTS USED IN THE BAHÁ'Í WRITINGS

Introduction	1
Why the Philosophic Form is in So Many Passages?	7
Important Historical Background	14
Bahá'í Revelation Expressed Through Aristotelian Concepts	17
Conclusion	38

A PHILOSOPHICAL STUDY OF THE BAHÁ'Í WRITINGS

Introduction	39
Branches of philosophy	40
Philosophy of the Bahá'í Writings— three misunderstandings	41
Philosophy of the Bahá'í Writings— four advantages	46
Selections from the Bahá'í Writings	50

BAHÁ'Í METAPHYSICS OR THEORY OF REALITY

Metaphysics and ontology	55
Selections from the Bahá'í Writings	61

BAHÁ'Í EPISTEMOLOGY

Introduction	68
Selections from the Bahá'í Writings	70

THEORY OF MAN: WHAT IS HUMAN NATURE?

Introduction	80
Selections from the Bahá'í Writings	83

ETHICS AND SOCIAL PHILOSOPHY

Introduction	94
Selections from the Bahá'í Writings	95

THEORY OF HISTORY AND THE MANIFESTATION	
Introduction	102
Selections from the Bahá'í Writings	110

A READER IN BAHÁ'Í THEOLOGY

INTRODUCTION	119
---------------------	-----

HOW DO I KNOW? EPISTEMOLOGY

Introduction	123
Questions	124

WHAT IS THE STRUCTURE OF REALITY? ONTOLOGY

Introduction	147
Questions	148

WHERE DO I COME FROM? CREATION AND EVOLUTION

Introduction	168
Questions	170

WHO AM I? HUMAN NATURE

Questions	192
Selections from the Bahá'í Writings	193

WHO CAN I TRUST TO GUIDE ME?

MANIFESTATION AND REVELATION

Introduction	206
Questions	207

WHAT IS FREEDOM? FREE WILL, REWARD AND PUNISHMENT

Selections from the Bahá'í Writings	215
-------------------------------------	-----

WHERE ARE WE GOING? EVER-ADVANCING SPECIES AND SOUL

Introduction	235
Questions	236

WHAT IS EXPECTED OF ME?

Introduction	257
Questions	259

WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

THEOLOGY IN ACTION

Introduction	270
Questions	272

APPLICATION IN PHILOSOPHICAL THEOLOGY

Some Answered Questions: A Philosophical Perspective

INTRODUCTION	279
ONTOLOGY	
SAQ's ontology: Some basic principles	283
Ontological realism	284
Ontological pluralism	286
Distinctions of being and power	289
Ontological hierarchism	291
Hierarchy after death	294
Kinds and their perfections	295
A dynamic ontology	296
A nested hierarchy	297
Panentheism	299
Ontology: causality	300
Teleology	306
Intelligent design	307
Hylomorphism	309
An application of four-fold causality	310
Platonic trends in SAQ	311
Reality of universals	313
Reflection and participation	319
Existence and non-existence	321
Structure of beings	324
Essence and existence	327
God—an epistemological preview	328
Existence of God: Argument from contingency	331
Ontological argument	332
Argument from design	334
Argument from change	335
Perfection of creation	336
A process ontology	337
ONTO-THEOLOGY	
Ontological attributes of God	341
Emanationism	346
The Manifestations	348
The Manifestation as world-soul	351
Two comments	352
The Manifestations' superior knowledge	355

EPISTEMOLOGY	357
Realism and the correspondence theory of truth	358
Rejection of nominalism and conceptualism	361
Sources of knowledge	363
Reflection on 'Abdu'l-Bahá's statements	366
The question of certainty: Between Scylla and Charybdis	368
Moderate rationalism	371
Knowledge of essences	372
Objective and subjective knowledge	374
Knowledge of God	377
God's knowledge	379
Mind	381
Mind is not brain	382
Brain and mind—a formal connection	384
Infallibility	387
PHILOSOPHICAL ANTHROPOLOGY	
Human nature	390
The soul and immortality	394
Rational soul	396
Humankind's dual nature	398
Inherent struggle between higher and lower natures	399
The purpose of earthly existence	400
Innate, inherited and acquired character	403
Free will	405
Ethics	407
Virtue ethics	410
Progress	414
Human evolution	418
SAQ and science	422
CONCLUSION	424
BIBLIOGRAPHY	
General	426
Works by Ian Kluge	430

COMPILER'S NOTE

This book honors the memory of the late Ian Kluge (1948–2023), a Bahá'í philosopher, author and educator.

The first part of the book consists of the Readers in Bahá'í philosophy and theology that Ian Kluge made for the students of his two courses — one on Bahá'í philosophy and the other on Bahá'í theology — that he has taught at the Wilmette Institute for many years.

Each Reader is divided into units or sections. Ian Kluge wrote the introductory essays for the units and compiled various quotations from Bahá'í Scriptural Writings to illustrate the central theme of each section.

The second part of the book offers a scholarly application of the course materials authored by Ian Kluge himself. His book-length essay, *Some Answered Questions: A Philosophical Perspective*, represents Kluge's most significant contribution to Bahá'í scholarship. It appeared previously in the *Lights of 'Irfán*, Vol. 10, pp. 149–274, Irfan Colloquia, Wilmette, Ill., 2009.

Unfortunately, the present version of the texts has been edited without the usual benefits of consultation with the author regarding the many proposed technical and stylistic changes made to them¹.

Quotations from 'Abdu'l-Bahá's *Some Answered Questions* are updated to the revised 2014 translation.

Dr. Robert Stockman, the founder and former Director of the Wilmette Institute, wrote the book's preface.

This volume is published with the permission of Ian Kluge's widow, Kirsti Kluge, who holds the legal rights to her late husband's writings.

Dr. Mikhail Sergeev

¹ The preparation of the text of this book for publication required an extensive collaborative effort by the editors because of the intricacy of the topics covered by the author. (Editors)

PREFACE

IAN KLUGE, A BAHÁ'Í PHILOSOPHER

As the founder and former Director of the Wilmette Institute, also a long-time faculty colleague and friend, I am happy to present this volume of philosophical and theological works that celebrates the life and scholarly accomplishments of Ian Kluge (1948–2023), an early and active faculty member who established the Institute's first courses on philosophy and Bahá'í theology.

Ian was born in Germany on 17 May 1948, to a Prussian atheist father and a German-Jewish mother (and Nazi concentration camp survivor) who were refugees from what is now Poland and Russia. In 1954, the family moved to Canada, where Ian grew up. He received his BA (Honors) at Notre Dame University of Nelson, British Columbia. He went on to graduate studies at the University of Alberta, where he received an MA, and completed his doctoral studies but not his dissertation. He met his Finnish wife, Kirsti, on a blind date; they married in 1973 and had four children, two boys and two girls.

Ian notes that “what attracted me to the Bahá'í Faith was its evolutionary and dialectical outlook, which, in my opinion, Bahá'ís and non-Bahá'ís alike are only beginning to understand, especially when it relates to practical issues like human nature, politics, economics, psychology and psychopathology, gender and gender relations.” Later in life, Ian's atheist father became a Bahá'í as well.

Ian's talents were many and diverse. He was a poet who published at least three volumes of poetry; and a playwright, five of whose plays were produced in British Columbia, where he resided. He published a literary study of the American poet Conrad Aiken and regular columns in various local newspapers.

Among Bahá'ís, he was best known as a philosopher and a writer on comparative religion, meta-ethics, and science and religion. Thirty-five essays of his are available at the online Bahá'í Library web site (https://bahai-library.com/author/Ian_Kluge). One friend of his noted that Ian “single-handedly raised the depth and sophistication and breadth of our discourse in the correlation of the Bahá'í Faith to the Western Philosophical tradition” and “his work will remain foundational for anyone exploring similar terrain for a good time to come.” He has been described as “a powerful personality, with a strong presence and strong points of view, a big heart, a profound dedication to truth and to service, in evident and frank sincerity.”

Ian mentioned that he had a near-death experience as a result of a near drowning at age 14: “it was a beautiful, visual, audial and spiritual experience.” He also has had “spontaneous ‘mystical’ experiences of incredible beauty and power.” In one of his essays, he noted that “In the Bahá'í vision of life and the afterlife, the endless quest for ever more adequate self-actualization is a positive vision reflecting the infinite glory God has bestowed on humankind. We are all engaged on an endless voyage of discovery in which every moment is both a sheltering harbor and a point of departure.”

He has now left on a new voyage of discovery through the infinite worlds of God.

Dr. Robert Stockman,
The Corinne True Center for Bahá'í History

A READER IN BAHÁ'Í PHILOSOPHY

BAHÁ'U'LLÁH'S TOOLBOX: THE PHILOSOPHICAL CONCEPTS USED IN THE BAHÁ'Í WRITINGS

INTRODUCTION

The Bahá'í Writings use a considerable number of philosophical concepts, terms, and arguments to explain the Teachings and to analyse and explain reality in a coherent, concise, and systematic way. Familiarity with them is an integral part of understanding many passages in the Writings. As we shall demonstrate below,¹ many—but not all—of these concepts, terms, and arguments are the key elements in Aristotle's method of analysing and explaining reality.

Everything we encounter in the phenomenal or created world can be understood or comprehended in terms of these concepts, including, as we shall see below, “*Intelligible realities*”² and spiritual issues. God in Himself, of course, is the exception, although as ‘Abdu’l-Bahá says, His existence “*hath been clearly established on the basis of logical proofs*”,³ which, in the case of the Bahá'í Writings, often originate with Aristotle. A systematic understanding of reality is possible because all created things share certain basic features by which they can be, among other things, analysed, compared, contrasted, and studied for logical consequences. In this study, we shall identify and explain these concepts and show how they are used by Bahá'u'lláh and ‘Abdu’l-Bahá in the Writings.

¹ For a detailed study of this, see Ian Kluge, “The Aristotelian Substratum of the Bahá'í Writings”.

² ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 214.

³ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Selections from the Writings of ‘Abdu’l-Bahá*, p. 46.

Here is a small glossary of terms we shall cover. While some of these terms have everyday conventional uses, the Bahá'í Writings also have specialized usages that broaden and deepen our understanding of what the Writings say. We will find that many (if not all) of the teachings have a metaphysical aspect, i.e., they are supported by the structure of reality as reality is analysed and explained by the Writings. The following list is arranged by subject matter.

1. **ESSENCE:** what a thing actually is, or its definition which distinguishes it from all other kinds of things; its unique identity as a certain kind of being. Each essence has a unique set of potentials and, therefore, a unique form; and is also a unique substance. We cannot know the essence of anything directly; we can only know about essences by means of the attributes in the phenomenal world.⁴
2. **ATTRIBUTE:** the qualities that an essence or a particular thing has. There are two kinds of attributes. **Essential attributes** are those that an essence or a particular thing must have to be what it is. Being a mammal is an essential attribute for a dog. **Accidental attributes** are those that can change without changing what a thing is. Being black and white is an accidental quality of a dog; if its color were different, it would still have the essence of a dog. Sometimes, an attribute is essential from one point of view but not from another. Being brindle-colored is an essential attribute for my particular dog, Athena, but it is not an essential attribute, i.e., accidental for being a dog in general.
3. **FORM:** another term for essence from the point of view of its structure or composition as seen in real things. The form identifies something as the particular kind of thing it is. Everything we know has a form because, without it, we could not distinguish one thing from another. Even ideas have form, or, as 'Abdu'l-Bahá calls them, "*intelligible form*,"⁵

⁴ See 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 253.

⁵ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 129.

i.e., a particular composition by which we can understand them. Form is more than just physical shape.

4. **MATTER:** is that from which something else is made. “Matter is a relative term; to each form, there corresponds a special matter.”⁶ For example, paper is matter relative to books, and books are matter relative to libraries. Matter can be physical, but can also be concepts that are formed into an argument, or a plot idea formed into a novel. It is sometimes called ‘substance’. The same idea/matter can often have more than one “intelligible form”, just as certain mathematical ideas can be expressed in different formulas. There is no such thing as actual matter without form.
5. **HYLOMORPHISM:** The belief that all physical beings are composed of matter and form. The Bahá'í Writings support this view. It is evident in ‘Abdu’l-Bahá’s four-fold causality, where formal causality is involved in everything that happens to matter.

It is therefore evident that the original matter, which is like unto the embryo, initially took the form of composed and combined elements, and that composition gradually grew and developed over a myriad ages and centuries, passing from one shape and form to another until, through the consummate wisdom of God, it appeared with such completeness, order, arrangement, and soundness.⁷

“Original matter” is ‘Abdu’l-Bahá’s term for Aristotle’s “primal matter” (see above), i.e., matter without form, which is pure potential that has not yet been made actual. ‘Abdu’l-Bahá calls this “*like unto the embryo*” precisely because it is still pure potential and is impelled by God’s action guided by His wisdom through various forms. Hylomorphism is also the metaphysical basis of progressive revelation in which the (subject) matter—“the eternal verities” found in

⁶ Aristotle, *Physics*, Bk II, 2, 194b.

⁷ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 210.

every revelation—appears in various forms over historical time. As ‘Abdu’l-Bahá says, “*Truth is one, although its manifestations may be very different.*”⁸ The actual manifestations are the ones in which truth can appear.

6. **CHANGE:** the movement from potential to actual or actualization of potentials or capacities. Change may be as simple as moving from one place to another or the actualization of human potential. Change always requires something external to actualize potential because if potentials could realize themselves, they would not be potentials. This is the metaphysical reason for needing Manifestations: as perfectly actualized mirrors of God, they can activate and actualize the potential in humankind. All change, including spiritual change, is the movement from potential to actual. If there were no potential in man or anything else, there would be nothing to actualize.
7. **SUBSTANCE:** it has several meanings. It can be plain, simple matter, but its most important meaning refers to anything that is not an attribute of something else, i.e., it is independent, not a part of something else the way an attribute is. As we shall see in the Bahá'í Writings, even God is said to be a substance. In fact, He is the only absolutely independent ‘thing’ and, therefore, is a substance in the fullest sense of the word.
8. **FOUR-FOLD CAUSALITY:** ‘Abdu’l-Bahá accepts Aristotle’s analysis of causality⁹ according to which everything has four causes:

For the existence of each and every thing depends upon four causes: the efficient cause, the material cause, the formal cause, and the final cause.¹⁰ So this chair has a creator who is a carpenter, a matter which is wood, a form which is that of a chair, and a purpose which is to serve as

⁸ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Paris Talks*, p. 128.

⁹ Aristotle, *Physics*, Bk II, 7, 198 a, b.; & *Metaphysics*, Bk V, 1, 1013 a, b.

¹⁰ cf. Aristotle, *Physics*, 194 b16–195 a1.

*a seat. Therefore, this chair is essentially originated, for it is preceded by, and its existence is conditioned upon, a cause. This is called essential or intrinsic origination.*¹¹

In nature, all these aspects of causality work together at the same time and not in sequence, but when a conscious maker is involved, the final cause, i.e., the purpose, and the formal cause, i.e., the plan, precede the efficient and material cause. Before we actually build a desk, we make the decision to do so, i.e., conceive a purpose; then we think of a form or plan, and after that, we gather the materials and get to work.

9. **PHENOMENALITY:** refers to all that is created and exists in time. All phenomenal things are preceded by a cause and require causes to continue existing.¹² That is why they are “essentially phenomenal”, i.e., it is part of their essence to require causes. The phenomenal is dependent on external causes, which is why it is called “contingent”. The human soul is phenomenal, though it is eternal once it exists.¹³ Phenomenal things can never understand the non-phenomenal, i.e., the Pre-existent. (See below) The phenomenal world is subject to the laws of nature. The essence of phenomenal things cannot be known directly but only by their attributes.¹⁴ The phenomenal world is also “*the source of all imperfections*”.¹⁵
10. **PRE-EXISTENCE OR THE PRE-EXISTENT:** is God. The pre-existent has no cause and is absolutely independent of all other things. It includes or embraces everything (see discussion below). Its Essence is unknowable and can only be known by Its attributes as far as human powers allow.¹⁶ It

¹¹ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 323.

¹² Ibid.

¹³ Ibid., p. 173.

¹⁴ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *The Promulgation of Universal Peace*, p. 421.

¹⁵ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 339.

¹⁶ Ibid., p. 254.

can never incarnate itself in a limited and imperfect carnal body because that would require Pre-existence “*to take on the attributes of the originated*”.¹⁷ The Pre-existent is perfect.

11. **EMANATION:** describes the relationship between God and His creations. Here is ‘Abdu’l-Bahá’s clear explanation:

*Know that procession is of two kinds: procession and appearance through emanation, and procession and appearance through manifestation. Emanational procession is like the procession of the handiwork from its author. For example, the writing proceeds from the writer. Now, just as the writing emanates from the writer and the discourse from the speaker, so does the human spirit emanate from God. But it does not manifest Him; that is, no part has been separated from the divine Reality to enter into the body of man. No, the human spirit has emanated, just as speech emanates from the speaker, and become manifested in the body of man.*¹⁸

It is the opposite of “manifestation”¹⁹ (not related to “Manifestation”): “*As for manifestational procession, it is the manifestation of the reality of a thing in other forms, like the procession of this tree or this flower from their seeds, for it is the seed itself that has become manifested in the form of the branches, leaves, and flowers.*”²⁰ Another way to think of emanation is a magnet and its magnetic field.

Of course, we must always remember that the Bahá’í Writings are not only philosophical texts but were often responses to questions, occasions, and situations. Thus, these philosophic elements do not all appear in the form of professional treatises. Instead, these terms, concepts, and arguments appear as they are applied in a particular context.

¹⁷ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 235.

¹⁸ Ibid., p. 237.

¹⁹ Ibid., p. 340.

²⁰ Ibid., p. 237.

WHY THE PHILOSOPHIC FORM IS IN SO MANY PASSAGES?

In itself, the presence of philosophy in religious texts is not unique in the progressive revelation of religions. For example, anything more than a superficial understanding of Buddhism requires us to become familiar with at least two other methods of analysing and understanding reality, i.e. the Theravada and the Mahayana. These form the intellectual framework within which to understand the teachings of the Buddha. The same may be said about Hinduism and the *Upanishads*. The *Qur'án* also contains passages that are philosophical in nature and provide guidance in the analysis and understanding of reality²¹ as, to a lesser extent, does the *Torah*. Even mythologies, i.e. narratives embodying religious teachings contain philosophic elements as shown in Paul Radin's classic *Primitive Man as Philosopher*.

The pervasive presence of philosophical passages in the Bahá'í Writings leads to an important question: if we are not meant to understand and apply these concepts, terms and arguments in teaching and defending the Bahá'í Faith, why would Bahá'u'lláh and 'Abdu'l-Bahá include them so prominently in the first place? Why burden so many believers—especially those from non-western intellectual traditions—with the task of learning a particular method of analysing and understanding reality? What are the supposed benefits?

Besides improving our understanding of the Bahá'í Writings, there are other benefits to be derived from understanding these concepts, terms and arguments. The first is that such understanding enables us to teach the Bahá'í Faith from a rational perspective, i.e. to show that, while the Writings may superficially appear to be unorganized tablets, letters and treatises responding to particular occasions and requests, they are, in actual fact, based on and informed by a coherent and systematic philosophical

²¹ See for example, S. H. Nasr, "Islamic Metaphysics", http://www.livingislam.org/m/mxin_e.html.

method. As 'Abdu'l-Bahá says, "*rational arguments ... are what the people of the world require in this day.*"²² Elsewhere he says, "*Every subject presented to a thoughtful audience must be supported by rational proofs and logical arguments*"²³ and adds, "*Reason is the first faculty of man and the religion of God is in harmony with it.*"²⁴ If our teaching and defending the Bahá'í Faith is to succeed, we must be able to meet the needs of those with whom we are dealing and for an increasing number of seekers, one of those needs is for rational, philosophically coherent explanations even in religious matters. Meeting this need is our duty.

As Bahá'u'lláh says, "*Be anxiously concerned with the needs of the age ye live in, and center your deliberations on its exigencies and requirements.*"²⁵

Of course, 'Abdu'l-Bahá's statements reflect the Bahá'í teaching that "*the foundations of religion are reasonable.*"²⁶ He adds, "*If religion were contrary to logical reason then it would cease to be a religion and be merely a tradition.*"²⁷ In other words, reason is a necessary attribute of religion in order to retain its identity as genuine religion. Reason, in effect, is a touchstone that helps us to distinguish true religion from superstition or "irreligion".²⁸ Elsewhere, 'Abdu'l-Bahá adds that religion is "*founded upon the premises and conclusions of reason, and both [religion and science] must bear its [reason's] test.*"²⁹

The second benefit of such a strong philosophic component in the Bahá'í Writings is that the understanding gained by studying the philosophical aspects of the Writings gives confidence to the teacher and the seeker: "*In divine questions, we must not depend entirely upon the heritage of tradition and former human*

²² 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 8.

²³ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *The Promulgation of Universal Peace*, p. 253.

²⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 231.

²⁵ Bahá'u'lláh, *Gleanings from the Writings of Bahá'u'lláh*, p. 213.

²⁶ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *The Promulgation of Universal Peace*, p. 128.

²⁷ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Paris Talks*, p. 143.

²⁸ Shoghi Effendi, *The World Order of Bahá'u'lláh*, p. 180.

²⁹ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *The Promulgation of Universal Peace*, p. 107.

experience; nay, rather, we must exercise reason, analyse and logically examine the facts presented so that confidence will be inspired and faith attained.³⁰ 'Abdu'l-Bahá emphasises this by saying, "*If a question be found contrary to reason, faith and belief in it are impossible, and there is no outcome but wavering and vacillation.*"³¹

These, of course, undermine confidence in both teacher and seeker. Confidence is important—as known to anyone who has ever had a teacher who was not fully confident in his or her material. A lack of confidence diminishes further interest and often undermines the credibility of what is being taught. One example of how this works is 'Abdu'l-Bahá's proof of the immortality of the soul. Once this argument has been properly explained, a seeker will know that belief in immortality is not necessarily blind faith or pious hopes. Even if they disagree they will usually understand that such a belief can be based on rational grounds.

A third benefit for the pervasive inclusion of philosophical material in the Bahá'í Writings is that it demonstrates the underlying connection of the head, i.e. intellect and the heart, i.e. our intuitive and 'feeling knowledge'. As 'Abdu'l-Bahá tells us, "*The world of minds corresponds with the world of hearts.*"³² Both must be in harmony for there to be genuine belief.

*If religious belief and doctrine is at variance with reason, it proceeds from the limited mind of man and not from God; therefore, it is unworthy of belief and not deserving of attention; the heart finds no rest in it, and real faith is impossible. How can man believe that which he knows to be opposed to reason? Is this possible? Can the heart accept that which reason denies? Reason is the first faculty of man and the religion of God is in harmony with it.*³³

Elsewhere, 'Abdu'l-Bahá states,

³⁰ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *The Promulgation of Universal Peace*, p. 327.

³¹ *Ibid.*, p. 181.

³² *Ibid.*, p. 270.

³³ *Ibid.*, p. 231.

*If thou wishest the divine knowledge and recognition, purify thy heart from all beside God, be wholly attracted to the ideal, beloved One; search for and choose Him and apply thyself to rational and authoritative arguments. For arguments are a guide to the path and by this the heart will be turned unto the Sun of Truth.*³⁴

In other words, reason can turn the heart towards God by, among other things, removing obstacles to belief or showing the implicit logic of a teaching.

A fourth benefit of the philosophical formulations in the Bahá'í Writings is such intellectual precision facilitates in inter-religious dialogue with religions that have included great philosophic traditions, such as Roman Catholicism, Judaism, Islam, Buddhism and Hinduism. These religions explain many of their teachings in basic philosophic terms analytically derived from their sacred texts. A clear exposition of the philosophy embedded in the Bahá'í Writings expedites such inter-faith dialogue by clarifying concepts and arguments and, above all, helps identify underlying similarities and agreements.

There is a fifth benefit to be gained from the inclusion of these philosophical passages. As we shall see shortly, almost all of this terminology is Aristotelian—which raises the question ‘Why did Bahá'u'lláh and ‘Abdu'l-Bahá choose to do this?’ What was so special about Aristotle’s analysis and explanations of reality that they would make them part of the Bahá'í Writings? One reason may have been cultural, namely, that Aristotle’s thought played an important role in Iranian and/or Islamic thought and using such concepts would improve communication and credibility at least with the educated classes. Of course, this creates problems with teaching in the West where Aristotle’s thought had been out of fashion for three centuries when ‘Abdu'l-Bahá arrived there in 1912.³⁵ (By 2015 an Aristotelian revival developed start-

³⁴ ‘Abdu'l-Bahá, *Tablets of Abdul-Baha Abbas, Vol. 1*, p. 168.

³⁵ This was true even in the Catholic Church, in which Pope Leo XIII, in 1879, started a revival of Aristotle via Thomas Aquinas.

ing in ethics and political theory but expanding into metaphysics.³⁶) Consequently, the first question arises again: 'Why did Bahá'u'lláh and 'Abdu'l-Bahá choose to make such pervasive use of Aristotle?' In our view, this is neither an accident, nor a coincidence nor a serendipitous development nor a mere tactic of adaptation. After all, the Manifestation and His infallibly appointed interpreter could have chosen other means to promulgate the divine teachings. The most likely answer—from our perspective—is they are encouraging us to use, develop and expand Aristotle's method of analysing and understanding reality. At least three possible reasons for doing so immediately suggest themselves. First, Aristotle's metaphysics and ethics form a common bond between Bahá'í, Jewish, Christian and Muslim philosophical thought. In addition to the Bahá'í Faith, three of the world's great religious traditions find common ground here—and these common elements facilitate dialogue, understanding and co-operation.³⁷ Second, Aristotle's method of analysing and explaining reality is based on but not limited to ordinary experience in the natural world. It can, so to speak, be explained in anyone's backyard and uses the 'classical logic' that all living creatures instinctively use³⁸ despite contemporary academic efforts to reject it. In short, Aristotle's method is universally applicable. Third, as 'Abdu'l-Bahá points out, it explores both the material and the spiritual aspects of existence—unlike almost all modern philosophers since the European Enlightenment.³⁹ He says,

³⁶ See Tuomas Tahko, "Contemporary Aristotelian Metaphysics", for example.

³⁷ We need only think of the Rabbi Maimonides, the monks Aquinas and Scotus, and the Muslim philosopher-healer Avicenna.

³⁸ See Ian Kluge, "Reason and the Bahá'í Writings", pp. 163–232. Briefly, all beings naturally recognize the law of non-contradiction. Even a baby knows it has either been fed or not fed—but not both at the same time. A man crossing a busy street checks to see if there is traffic close by or not—but not both at the same time. This is the basis of 'classical' logic.

³⁹ Exceptions are Hegel, Schopenhauer, Whitehead, Marcel and Maritain. None of them have widespread followers in academia. Positivism, language philosophy, postmodernism, and their offshoots have dominated philosophy since the 1920s.

*The philosophers of Greece—such as Aristotle, Socrates, Plato and others—were devoted to the investigation of both natural and spiritual phenomena. In their schools of teaching they discoursed upon the world of nature as well as the supernatural world. Today the philosophy and logic of Aristotle are known throughout the world. Because they were interested in both natural and divine philosophy, furthering the development of the physical world of mankind as well as the intellectual, they rendered praiseworthy service to humanity. This was the reason of the triumph and survival of their teachings and principles. Man should continue both these lines of research and investigation so that all the human virtues, outer and inner, may become possible. The attainment of these virtues, both material and ideal, is conditioned upon intelligent investigation of reality, by which investigation the sublimity of man and his intellectual progress is accomplished.*⁴⁰

The importance of this issue for ‘Abdu’l-Bahá is strengthened in light of his direct and very sharp criticism and outright rejection of materialist philosophy in modern Europe and America.⁴¹ It is also important to note that ‘Abdu’l-Bahá directly and explicitly encourages us to “*continue both these lines of research and investigation*”,⁴² i.e. to follow the examples set by Aristotle, Socrates and Plato in their natural and spiritual studies.

Of equal significance is that ‘Abdu’l-Bahá says that the growth of virtue “*is conditioned upon intelligent investigation of reality*”,⁴³ i.e. the continued growth of virtue requires the continued growth of understanding reality—which is precisely what philosophy is, at least as defined by ‘Abdu’l-Bahá: “*Philosophy consists in com-*

⁴⁰ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *The Promulgation of Universal Peace*, p. 327.

⁴¹ See ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Promulgation*, pp. 20; 240; & “*Tablet to Dr Auguste Forel*”, p. 37.

⁴² ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *The Promulgation of Universal Peace*, p. 327.

⁴³ *Ibid.*, p. 327.

prehending, so far as human power permits, the realities of things as they are in themselves."⁴⁴

Finally, a sixth reason arises in answer to an incisive question: 'What advantage has been gained by a philosophical explanation of these teachings?' A philosophical explanation is a series of connected inferences that lead to a logically necessary conclusion. A conclusion is necessary if denying it leads to self-contradictions and/or other logical and/or factual problems. There are at least three advantages of such explanations. First, they show that the Bahá'í teachings have a rational foundation and, as 'Abdu'l-Bahá says, "*... rational arguments ... are what the people of the world require in this day.*"⁴⁵ Obviously, our teaching efforts have better chances of success if we meet people's needs. This leads to the second advantage: teachings that can be explained philosophically are better able to withstand rigorous, intense and even hostile questioning both from seekers and foes of the Bahá'í Faith. Moreover, those who have studied the philosophical aspects of the Bahá'í Writings will likely have developed the intellectual skills to disarm unsympathetic arguments by identifying and counter-acting weaknesses in these critiques and/or attacks. Finally, the pervasiveness of philosophical explanations in the Writings are an antidote to seeing the Faith as driven simply by 'faith', i.e. uncritical and unreflective belief. In short, it discourages fideism, i.e. the view that faith and reason are incompatible and that faith alone is superior. This is logically incompatible with the injunction to investigate the truth for ourselves. However, this is not to deny the value of faith but to build faith on reason and conscious knowledge: it is a call to let reason play a role in our faith. This harmonizes with 'Abdu'l-Bahá's identification of "*conscious knowledge*" being the first part of faith: "*the faith is meant, first, conscious knowledge, and second, the practice of good deeds.*"⁴⁶

⁴⁴ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 255.

⁴⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 8.

⁴⁶ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Tablets of Abdul-Baha Abbas*, Vol. 3, p. 549.

IMPORTANT HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

A brief historical note is necessary at this point to identify more clearly the philosophical concepts used so consistently and pervasively throughout the Bahá'í Writings. This knowledge will help us explain the concepts and arguments we encounter because we will know more about their context. Only a basic knowledge of philosophy is necessary to recognize the metaphysical concepts. Terms and arguments used in the Writings first appeared in the work of Aristotle who lived in Greece from 384 to 322 BCE.⁴⁷ These concepts, terms and arguments form the basis of Aristotle's method of analysing and explaining reality and are used in the same way in the Writings. In our opinion, this means that Bahá'u'lláh and 'Abdu'l-Bahá gave their 'seal of approval' or imprimatur on these features of Aristotle's philosophy, thereby, encouraging us to use them as well in our own investigations of truth. Why else would they include them so consistently and pervasively? Of course, we hasten to add that using these concepts, terms and arguments does not mean that the Bahá'í Writings include or agree with everything Aristotle says. While the only aspects of Aristotle that are of interest to us are those found in the Writings, perhaps comparing his paradigm with the Bahá'í teachings might increase our general understanding.

Indeed, explicit references to Aristotle are more numerous in the Bahá'í Writings than one might initially suspect. For example, at the end of one talk we find the compiler's note: "The talk developed into a learned dissertation on the Philosophy of Aristotle."⁴⁸ The compiler's words "learned dissertation" suggest 'Abdu'l-Bahá's in-depth knowledge of the subject. Also, 'Abdu'l-

⁴⁷ For detailed studies of the Aristotelian presence in the Bahá'í Writings, see Ian Kluge, "The Aristotelian Substratum of the Bahá'í Writings", pp. 17–78. See also "Bahá'í Ontology, Part One: An Initial Reconnaissance", pp. 121–160; and "Bahá'í Ontology, Part Two: Further Explorations", pp. 163–200.

⁴⁸ Note by compiler in 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *'Abdu'l-Bahá in London*, p. 95.

Bahá states: “As to deistic philosophers, such as Socrates, Plato and Aristotle, they are indeed worthy of esteem and of the highest praise, for they have rendered distinguished services to mankind.”⁴⁹ These and other references to Aristotle constitute an explicit intellectual connection to the Bahá'í Writings. Moreover, there is also a historical connection between Aristotle and Muslim, and specifically Persian, intellectual culture.

In CE 529 the Roman emperor Justinian I closed the philosophical schools of Athens because of their paganism and sent many of its leading academics into exile. The Sassanid king of Persia, Khusraw I offered them a safe haven and they arrived at his capital Ctesiphon with large collections of ancient philosophy, above all Plato, Aristotle and Plotinus related commentaries. Furthermore, a theologian known as Paul the Persian also attended Khusraw's court where he wrote several commentaries on Aristotle's work. It is interesting that, in trying to reconcile Plato and Aristotle, Plotinus (CE 204–270) proposed a theory of ‘emanation’, which is one of the key concepts within the Bahá'í Revelation.⁵⁰ His work also arrived in Persia with the Athenian philosophers fleeing the Christian emperor Justinian I.

The subsequent history of Islamic and Persian philosophy shows the enormous influence of Aristotle, starting with the work of al-Kindí (CE 801–873) who was an early transmitter of Aristotelian philosophy into the Muslim world in what is now Iraq. In particular, al-Kindí supported Aristotle's theory of reason.⁵¹ Another major conduit for Aristotle into Islam was al-Fárábí (CE 870–950);⁵² born in eastern Iran and known as “the second master” after Aristotle whose works he was instrumental in preserving. Later, ibn Rushd (1126–1198) from Spain, known as “the Commentator” on Aristotle, deepened Aristotelian influence in Muslim philosophy. Three other specifically Persian phi-

⁴⁹ ‘Abdu'l-Bahá, “Tablet to Dr Auguste Forel”, p. 37.

⁵⁰ Ian Kluge, “Neoplatonism and the Bahá'í Writings”, Part 1 & 2.

⁵¹ Majid Fakhry, *A History of Islamic Philosophy*, p. 87.

⁵² Majid Fakhry, *Al-Farabi*, p. 1.

losophers: ibn Síná (Avicenna),⁵³ Suhrawardí⁵⁴ and Mullá Šadrá⁵⁵ showed Aristotle's influence insofar as they defined their own views in light of his teachings.⁵⁶ In other words, Aristotle's ideas were part of the Islamic and Persian intellectual heritage familiar to Bahá'u'lláh and the educated people of His time. In the West that would not have been the case—but we cannot explore that issue here.

It might be argued that Bahá'u'lláh and 'Abdu'l-Bahá utilised these concepts, terms and arguments in a form filtered and shaped by Persian philosophers and culture, and, therefore, not truly Aristotelian. However, such is demonstrably not the case as shown in my "The Aristotelian Substratum of the Bahá'í Writings",⁵⁷ which establishes that the concepts and terminology used are strictly and purely Aristotelian, i.e. used in an Aristotelian manner. There is no sign of foreign, i.e. non-Aristotelian elements being added and, thereby, diverting them from their original usage in Aristotle. Of course, from a theological point of view, Bahá'u'lláh does not need to learn the Aristotelian analysis of reality from human sources, but, rather, knows it by virtue of His divine intuition. The Manifestations' "knowledge is divine and not acquired ..."⁵⁸

⁵³ Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, "Arabic and Islamic Metaphysics" <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/arabic-islamic-metaphysics/#Avi>.

⁵⁴ Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, "Suhrawardi", <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/suhrawardi/>.

⁵⁵ Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, "Mulla Sadra", <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/mulla-sadra/>.

⁵⁶ One of the influential texts was *The Theology* of Aristotle, which was actually a part of Plotinus' *Enneads*. However, because Plotinus tried to reconcile Plato and Aristotle, much of the *Theology's* terminology and concepts are Aristotelian. See <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/theology-aristotle/>

⁵⁷ Ian Kluge, "The Aristotelian Substratum of the Bahá'í Writings".

⁵⁸ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 180.

BAHÁ'Í REVELATION EXPRESSED THROUGH ARISTOTELIAN CONCEPTS

COMPREHENSION, PERCEPTION, AND THE CHAIN OF BEING: UNDERSTANDING 'ENCOMPASSING' IN ONTOLOGY AND EPISTEMOLOGY

Let us begin our exploratory journey by examining one of the most puzzling concepts, which is fundamental to the Bahá'í teachings in ontology and epistemology, concerning the issue of God, the Manifestations of God and humankind who 'surround' or 'encompass' the objects of perception; and, in the case of God, 'surrounding' all things as in, "... the divine Essence is an all-encompassing reality".⁵⁹

In regards to the Manifestations, take, for example, the following statement:

*Since those sanctified realities, the universal Manifestations of God, encompass all created things both in their essence and in their attributes, since They transcend and discover all existing realities, and since They are cognizant of all things, it follows that Their knowledge is divine and not acquired—that is, it is a heavenly grace and a divine discovery.*⁶⁰

We observe how "encompass" all the 'essence[s] and attributes' of things is associated with being 'cognizant of all things'. The Manifestations "transcend and discover all existing realities", i.e. essences⁶¹ and "transcend" them, which means to contain them within Themselves but also to surpass them by means of rational understanding. The same applies to humankind. As 'Abdu'l-Bahá says, "These ideal virtues or powers in man surpass or surround nature, comprehend natural laws and phenomena."⁶² In short, man's intellectual powers "transcend" nature. Elsewhere he says,

⁵⁹ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 165.

⁶⁰ *Ibid.*, p. 180.

⁶¹ The word "reality" or "realities" is generally used as another word for 'essence'. See Ian Kluge, "The Aristotelian Substratum of the Bahá'í Writings".

⁶² 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *The Promulgation of Universal Peace*, p. 81.

*But the spirit and mind of man traverses all countries and regions and even the limitless expanse of the heavens; it **encompasses all existence** and **makes discoveries** in the spheres above and in the infinite reaches of the universe.*⁶³

And finally, in *Some Answered Questions*, he writes,

*This spirit, [the human spirit] which in the terminology of the philosophers is called the rational soul [or the human spirit], encompasses all things and, as far as human capacity permits, discovers their realities and becomes aware of the properties and effects, the characteristics and conditions of earthly things.*⁶⁴

Here, too, surrounding and understanding or learning are intimately connected. It should be noted that the “realities” of things are their essences or forms.

The knowledge of things that men universally have is gained by reflection or by evidence—that is to say, either *the conception* of an object is formed by the power of the mind, or *the form* is reproduced in the mirror of the heart by beholding an object.⁶⁵

The “conception” in the intellect of something, e.g. a circle, is equivalent to the form, as the latter part of this statement indicates. Here, too, knowledge and form are connected. Elsewhere ‘Abdu’l-Bahá says, “... *knowledge, which is a form acquired by the mind, is an intelligible thing, and to speak of entering into the mind or exiting from it is absurd. Rather, it is a relationship of acquisition, even as images are reflected in a mirror.*”⁶⁶ That is, the “images” are the forms in the mind, which surrounds them. This process even applies to spiritual issues. ‘Abdu’l-Bahá says,

⁶³ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 279. Emphasis added.

⁶⁴ Ibid., pp. 241–2; see also pp. 161, 180, 251, 292.

⁶⁵ Ibid., p. 179.

⁶⁶ Ibid., p. 122.

*In the mirror of their minds the forms of transcendent realities are reflected, and the lamp of their inner vision derives its light from the sun of universal knowledge.*⁶⁷

‘Abdu’l-Bahá explains that the rational mind must work with the forms of things. He says that “*Such a thing [which no mind could ever conceive] could not be grasped by human reason—how much less be clothed in an **intelligible form**—but would remain sheer fancy.*”⁶⁸ The impression made in the wax is the “intelligible form” required by the human mind.

These are, truly, puzzling passages. How can man “surround nature” or “all beings” with his “ideal ... powers”? Indeed, how can a human being or a Manifestation surround “all that exists”? Perhaps God can do so (see below) but surely anything less than God, i.e. the ontologically omnipotent God, cannot. In our view, the philosophy of Aristotle, so often referred to in the Bahá'í Writings, offers one possible explanation at least in regard to humans and Manifestations though not in regards to God.

The key to understanding these passages lies in Aristotle’s theory of perception. In its most basic terms, Aristotle’s theory of perception states that when we perceive something, we take in its form but not its matter. His example is the impression left by a signet ring in a piece of wax: the form of the ring is impressed on the wax but the material is not. Moreover, the form is what gives the signet ring its essential identifying features, its essential attributes or essence; while the gold is only the means by which those features are revealed. It is an accidental, not essential feature or attribute because the same form could have been made from silver or iron without changing any of its identifying or essential attributes. In the case of the signet ring, the form is, of course, a sensible form, but such need not be the case. “[M]ore often, perhaps, [the form] is thought of as something which is an object of thought, rather than sense, as the inner nature of a thing which is expressed in ... the plan of its

⁶⁷ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *The Secret of Divine Civilization*, p. 21.

⁶⁸ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 129.

structure.”⁶⁹ Examples of this non-sensible form are the formula for the circumference of a circle and the definition of a circle. These apply to all circles and are knowledge of the essence of the circle. The form of a sensible or non-sensible thing is its essence.

In Aristotle's words,

By a 'sense' is meant what has the power of receiving into itself the sensible forms of things without the matter. This must be conceived of as taking place in the way in which a piece of wax takes on the impress of a signet-ring without the iron or gold; we say that what produces the impression is a signet of bronze or gold, but its particular metallic constitution makes no difference.⁷⁰

With Aristotle's explanation in mind, we have a rational epistemological explanation for the ability of the Manifestations and humans to surround the objects of perception and thought. They are not materially taken inside us—rather, we take in their forms and make that the subjects of our understanding. We first comprehend in the sense of taking in the form and then we comprehend in the second sense of understanding.

The Bahá'í Writings also make indirect or implied use of the idea of perceiving forms in their use of mirror imagery. Usually—but not always—this imagery consists of the sun and a mirror, either the sun as God and the Manifestation as the perfect mirror, or, man as the mirror and the Manifestation as the sun. However, what we see in the Manifestation is not the actual, substantial sun but an image, a form of the sun:

But the sun doth not descend from the height of its sanctity to enter into the mirror, but when the latter is purified and turned towards the Sun of Truth, the perfections of this Sun, consisting of light and heat, are reflected and

⁶⁹ W. D. Ross, *Aristotle*, p. 74.

⁷⁰ Aristotle, *De Anima*, Bk II, 424a.

*manifested in that mirror. These souls are the Divine Manifestations of God.*⁷¹

The sun, i.e. God, is not literally present in the mirror, i.e. the Manifestation.⁷² This helps us to understand Bahá'u'lláh's statement that

*Unto this subtle, this mysterious and ethereal Being [the Manifestation] He hath assigned a twofold nature; the physical, pertaining to the world of matter, and the spiritual, which is born of the substance of God Himself.*⁷³

We shall discuss this in greater depth further below, but for now it suffices to note that the Manifestation is “born of the substance of God”, i.e. is a formal image derived directly—“born of”—from the real substantial sun just as the mirror image of something is ‘born’ of the real thing itself.

The relation of the actual thing and the formal image can be used to explain the paradoxical two-fold nature of the Manifestations Who are described as perfect mirrors:

*Yet the Holy Manifestations of God are even as a looking-glass, burnished and without stain, which gathereth streams of light out of that Sun ... In that polished surface, the Sun with all Its majesty standeth clearly revealed. Thus, should the mirrored Sun proclaim, 'I am the Sun!' this is but truth; and should It cry, 'I am not the Sun!' this is the truth as well.*⁷⁴

Substantially, in actual fact, the Manifestation is not God but rather He is God formally, the perfect reflection of the sun in the perfect mirror. Of course, this does not negate the fact that for humankind the Manifestation ‘is’ God insofar as the Manifestation is as much of God as we can know and is God’s spokesman.

⁷¹ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Selections from the Writings of ‘Abdu’l-Bahá*, p. 62.

⁷² ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 127.

⁷³ Bahá'u'lláh, *Gleanings from the Writings of Bahá'u'lláh*, p. 66.

⁷⁴ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Selections from the Writings of ‘Abdu’l-Bahá*, p. 50.

There is, however, potentially one limit to our conclusions about God in regards to 'surrounding' nature because God and His powers are unknowable. 'Abdu'l-Bahá says,

*"... the divine Essence is an all-encompassing reality, and all created things are encompassed. The all-encompassing must assuredly be greater than that which is encompassed, and thus the latter can in no wise discover the former or comprehend its reality."*⁷⁵

Here we see the word 'comprehend' used in both its senses of surrounding and including as well as understanding. Precisely because God comprehends all things He is omniscient—and we have seen why in the previous passages. This raises the question, 'Does God "surround" all things'? 'Is such a thing even conceivable—or must it be accepted on faith alone?' In the view of some believers, God does "surround all things" literally, a philosophical position known as pantheism. For them, God is the universe in all of its compexity. Nor is this concept inconceivable to the rational mind. There is, in fact, a geometrical illustration of this situation. In his classic novel *Flatland*,⁷⁶ Edwin Abbott describes a world of only two dimensions, i.e. like a flat sheet of paper on which the only directions are left and right and forward and backwards. There are circles but no spheres, triangles but no pyramids, squares but no boxes because spheres, pyramids and boxes would rise above the two dimensions into the third dimension. In the two-dimensional Flatland, there is no 'up' or vertical dimension that reaches into the three-dimensional world. This leaves us and the residents of Flatland in a strange situation. Although the residents of Flatland cannot look up into our three-dimensional world and see us spying on them, we can look down into their world because the three-dimensional world surrounds or encloses or comprehends the two-dimensional world both physically and formally. Strangely, we can even perform miracles in Flatland: we can lift a prisoner—Mr Dot—out of his jail

⁷⁵ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 165.

⁷⁶ See Edwin Abbott, *Flatland*.

cell which is a square into the third dimension and then put him down somewhere else. To Flatlanders, Mr Dot will just vanish and then re-appear. Similarly, beings in a four-dimensional space would physically and formally include everything in a three-dimensional space without us being aware of their presence. While this analogy does not prove anything about the nature of God, it does prove that the concept of God physically and formally surrounding creation is a rational possibility, i.e. is without inherent self-contradiction. In short, it is logically tenable.

However, the Bahá'í Faith does not teach pantheism, although it incorporates some elements of panentheism,⁷⁷ while also asserting a distinct God who is beyond the universe. Bahá'í teachings recognize the presence of God's attributes in creation, suggesting that the universe is "*suffused*" with God's presence. However, they also emphasize that God is an "*unknowable essence*" and not identical with creation.⁷⁸

Finally, we must not forget the ontological component here as summed up in 'Abdu'l-Bahá's statement:

*When thou dost carefully consider this matter, thou wilt see that a lower plane can never comprehend a higher. The mineral kingdom, for example, which is lower, is precluded from comprehending the vegetable kingdom ...*⁷⁹

*The higher plane, however, understandeth the lower. ... no lower degree can ever comprehend a higher.*⁸⁰

In this passage, 'comprehend' is also used in both its senses, i.e. to include and to understand. Perception is determined by one's position in the scale or chain of nature which goes from the

⁷⁷ Comparison of pantheism: the belief that God and the universe are identical (e.g., "God is everything, and everything is God"); and Panentheism: the view that God permeates and sustains the universe but also transcends it (e.g., "God is in all things, yet more than the sum of all things")

⁷⁸ See 'Abdu'l-Bahá, ch. 82 "The Unity of Existence", *Some Answered Questions*, pp. 335–342.

⁷⁹ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Selections from the Writings of 'Abdu'l-Bahá*, p. 46.

⁸⁰ *Ibid.*, p. 47.

mineral to plants, animals, humans and then to Manifestations. The following statement illustrates this point:

It is evident that whatsoever man understands is a consequence of his existence, and that man is a sign of the All-Merciful: How then can the consequence of the sign encompass the Creator of the sign? That is, how can human understanding, which is a consequence of man's existence, comprehend God? Thus the reality of the Divinity lies hidden from all understanding and is concealed from the minds of all men, and to ascend to that station is in no wise possible.

We observe that every lower thing is incapable of comprehending the reality of that which is higher.⁸¹

Humanity's ontological position on the scale or chain of nature is "*consequence of his existence*" and this, in turn, determines what humans can or cannot know. The Bahá'í Writings, in other words, consider epistemology as determined by position in the hierarchy of being.

THE NATURE OF SUBSTANCE AND ATTRIBUTE: IMMORTALITY AND IDENTITY OF THE SOUL

In answer to a question about the immortality of the soul, 'Abdu'l-Bahá says,

Some hold that the body is the substance and that it subsists by itself, and that the spirit is an accident which subsists through the substance of the body. The truth, however, is that the rational soul is the substance through which the body subsists. If the accident—the body—is destroyed, the substance—the spirit—remains.⁸²

This also reminds us of Bahá'u'lláh's statement about the Manifestations:

⁸¹ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 166.

⁸² *Ibid.*, p. 276.

*Unto this subtle, this mysterious and ethereal Being He hath assigned a twofold nature; the physical, pertaining to the world of matter, and the spiritual, which is born of the substance of God Himself.*⁸³

Surely 'substance' cannot mean 'material' substance for that would reduce God and the soul to material entities. This cannot be the case for two reasons. First, according to Aristotle, there are two kinds of substances—material, sensible substances like bricks and jellyfish that can perish and decompose because they are made of parts, and nonsensible substances like God, or the rational soul which are not made of parts and, therefore, cannot decompose and perish. 'Abdu'l-Bahá states,

*The soul is not a combination of elements, it is not composed of many atoms, it is of one indivisible substance and therefore eternal. It is entirely out of the order of the physical creation; it is immortal!*⁸⁴

Therefore the soul and God are what Aristotle calls a "non-sensible substance"⁸⁵ and cannot decompose and, therefore, are not perishable.

Secondly, for Aristotle, the word 'substance' has several meanings not all of which concern us here. The primary meaning of the word 'substance' in Aristotle is "in the truest and primary and most definite sense of the words, is that which neither predicable of a subject nor present in a subject."⁸⁶ In other words, a substance exists independently, i.e. it is an individual thing, not as an attribute or quality of something else. Obviously, the phrases like "the substance of God Himself" and "the soul is the substance" refer to 'substance' in its primary meaning, i.e. that which is independent and does not exist as an attribute of something else. This is exactly

⁸³ Bahá'u'lláh, *Gleanings from the Writings of Bahá'u'lláh*, p. 66.

⁸⁴ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Paris Talks*, p. 91.

⁸⁵ Aristotle, *Metaphysics*, Bk VII, 15 1041a.

⁸⁶ Aristotle, *Categories*. 4, 2a.

what God is in the fullest sense of the word, and the soul as well although it depends on God.

Another meaning of 'substance' is that which is "the cause of their being as the soul is of the being of an animal."⁸⁷ This is the sense in which 'Abdu'l-Bahá's argument for immortality uses the word: the soul is the substance which is the cause or origin of the body, which is an attribute. Finally, a 'substance' can also be the essence or form of something⁸⁸—which is the way 'Abdu'l-Bahá's argument also uses it. The substance or essence of man is the "rational soul" and the body is its attribute in the material world. In fact, 'Abdu'l-Bahá goes further—he suggests that ultimately the body is superfluous by calling it an "accidental" attribute, a viewpoint that makes sense insofar as we only spend a small part of our lifetimes in the material world.

The larger context of these uses of 'substance' is Aristotle's theory of substance and attribute. According to Aristotle, every substance has certain qualities or attributes and is identified or known by them. As 'Abdu'l-Bahá says, "*The essence of each thing is known only through its attributes ...*"⁸⁹ Some of these attributes are essential, i.e. necessary for the substance to be what it is, e.g. a dog must have the essential attribute of being a mammal. Even God has "*essential names and attributes*"⁹⁰ as 'Abdu'l-Bahá tells us. However, the dog's color is accidental, i.e. it would be another color without changing the dog's mammalian nature. God does not have accidental attributes since all His attributes are "*identical with His Essence*"⁹¹ since otherwise the unity of God would be undermined.

It is clear that the word 'substance' does not mean material or sensible substance but rather substance as an independent individual thing that does not exist as part of—and, therefore, is not dependent on something else. This is in clear opposition to the claim that the soul or rational soul or mind is a product or acci-

⁸⁷ Aristotle, *Metaphysics*, Bk 5, 8, 1017b.

⁸⁸ Ibid.

⁸⁹ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 253.

⁹⁰ Ibid., p. 168.

⁹¹ Ibid., p. 168.

dent developed from the material body, i.e. that the soul and its power, the mind, are dependent on the brain. In other words, according to 'Abdu'l-Bahá, the rational soul and mind are not emergent properties of matter regardless of how highly organized it is. He rejects that brain and mind are identical—a hot issue today in neurological research and instead sees the soul as the substance, i.e. the independent entity on which the body depends. In short, the body is an attribute—an accidental which the soul can exist without. (It is not an essential attribute like the mind⁹² which is necessary for the soul.)

**UNITY OF GOD: ESSENTIAL AND ACCIDENTAL ATTRIBUTES,
AND THE PROBLEM OF INFINITE REGRESS**

Next we shall examine what 'Abdu'l-Bahá says about the nature of God:

*It is not that we can understand His knowledge, His sight, His hearing, His power, or His life as they are in themselves: This is assuredly beyond our comprehension, for the essential names and attributes of God are identical with His Essence, and His Essence is sanctified above all understanding. If the essential attributes were not identical with the Essence, then there would be a multiplicity of pre-existences and the distinction between the Essence and the attributes would therefore also be firmly established and pre-existent. But this would imply an infinite chain of pre-existences, which is an evident error.*⁹³

This is a rigorous, logical explanation of the unity of God, making use of Aristotle's previously discussed distinction between essential and accidental attributes or qualities that are possessed by every essence, including God's. Of course, "*The Divine Essence as it is in itself is however beyond all description.*"⁹⁴ But nonethe-

⁹² 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 241.

⁹³ *Ibid.*, p. 168.

⁹⁴ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, "Tablet to Dr Auguste Forel", p. 40.

less, as 'Abdu'l-Bahá points out, this does not preclude a humanly comprehensible explanation of God's unity. He says, "*The Unity of God is logical, and this idea is not antagonistic to the conclusions arrived at by scientific study.*"⁹⁵ In other words, the real unity of God is beyond human comprehension but this is a logical explanation that falls within our powers. Let us examine it.

Essential attributes are those that something must have to be what it is, e.g. an engine to be a car or, a wing to be a bird or a "rational soul" to be human. None of these attributes by itself is the car or bird or the human, but the human, car and bird cannot be what they are without these attributes. An accidental attribute is one that is not necessary for a thing to be what it is, e.g. the brand name of the engine of a car; the exact number of feathers in a wing or the hair color of a human. However, with God, this unity of attribute and essence reaches a higher level: each of the "*names and attributes*" are "*identical with His Essence*" so that each implicitly contains all. Otherwise, there would be disunity in God's Essence because there would be differences between them, and those differences prevent unity.

Before we proceed, it is necessary to clarify the term "pre-existences" as used in this passage. The word 'existence' refers to those things of the phenomenal world created by God.

The essential attributes are "*pre-existences*" because as essential attributes of God, i.e. they exist as part of God and, therefore, before the phenomenal world. But if they are not one with God's Essence, these essential attributes exist separately from God as well as from the phenomenal world. Thus they are called "*pre-existences*". The problem pointed out by 'Abdu'l-Bahá is that if the essential attributes or pre-existences are distinct from God, the ontological distance between the essential attributes and God is infinite. However, an infinite distance requires "*a multiplicity of pre-existences*" to connect the pre-existences with God, "*and the distinction between the Essence and the attributes would therefore also be firmly established*

⁹⁵ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Paris Talks*, p. 141.

and pre-existent.” According to ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, traversing the infinite distance is impossible, or, in his words, “an evident error.”⁹⁶

It is “an evident error” because the Bahá'í Writings affirm Aristotle's view that an infinite regress of steps or causal actions is, in ‘Abdu’l-Bahá's word, “absurd”.⁹⁷ In presenting Aristotle's First Mover argument for the existence of God, he says,

*The same can be said of other beings whether they affect other things or be affected. Such process of causation goes on, and to maintain that this process goes on indefinitely is manifestly absurd. Thus such a chain of causation must of necessity lead eventually to Him who is the Ever-Living, the All-Powerful, who is Self-Dependent and the Ultimate Cause.*⁹⁸

We hasten to add that while the First Mover or “*Prime Mover*”⁹⁹ argument may have chronologically originated with Aristotle, it is ‘Abdu’l-Bahá's use of it that guarantees its truth and gives it authority for Bahá'ís. There are at least three reasons why “traversing the infinite” or infinite regress is “absurd”. First, if we could actually—not theoretically or mentally—traverse the infinite we could never have arrived at ‘today’ because there would be infinitely many days before ‘today’ arrived. Second, the concept of an actual, real infinite chain—not a theoretical construct—is logically self-contradictory. No matter how many links we can add to a supposedly infinite chain, we can always add one more. But if we always add one more, then the previous link was not at infinity and therefore, the links in the chain are countable at least in principle. But if they are countable, they are not infinite because being countable means the number is finite. Yet if we add another link,

⁹⁶ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 168.

⁹⁷ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, “Tablet to Dr Auguste Forel”, p. 41.

⁹⁸ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Bahá'í World Faith*, p. 343; & “Tablet to August Forel”, p. 41.

⁹⁹ Bahá'u'lláh, *Prayers and Meditations of Bahá'u'lláh*, p. 262: “Thou art He Who from everlasting hath been the King of the entire creation and its Prime Mover, and Thou wilt to everlasting remain the Lord of all created things and their Ordainer.”

we still have not reached infinity because we can add another link. As a theoretical or imaginative construct 'infinity' might make sense but not in actual reality. Third, from this we see that the infinite is never attained, or, as Aristotle put it, in the real world, the infinite is never actually finished and, therefore, is always only 'potential': "the infinite has a potential existence";¹⁰⁰ because the infinite is "what always has something outside of it."¹⁰¹

'Abdu'l-Bahá also uses the impossibility of an infinite regress¹⁰² because everything that is caused is caused by an external cause of motion and this chain of causation must have a first cause (or First Cause) that is itself uncaused. If the First Cause needed a cause—as the question, 'Who made God?' implies—then the infinite regress would start again, and we know this cannot actually happen. Without an uncaused external cause there would be no motion in nature—which is absurd because it contradicts common observation.

Aristotle explained this argument by referring to two very important concepts in the Bahá'í Writings: 'actuality' and 'potentiality'. He stated that motion was a potential change in things and that every potential has to be actualized by something that is already actualized—and since this cannot go on for infinitely, there must be a 'first actualiser', i.e. the "Prime Mover".

However, the Bahá'í Writings state "*that the worlds of God are countless in their number, and infinite in their range*"¹⁰³ or "*this infinite creation*"¹⁰⁴ and, thereby, seem to contradict the denial of an actual infinity of things. If a real infinite results in irresolvable paradoxes, how can there be an infinite number of worlds and how can creation be infinite? And if there can be a real infinite, why is an infinite regress of causes "*absurd*" as 'Abdu'l-Bahá says? Once again, if we look at the Aristotelian sense of infinity, the apparent contradiction can be resolved. As noted before, for Aristotle

¹⁰⁰ Aristotle, *Physics*, Bk III, 6, 206a.

¹⁰¹ Ibid., 207a.

¹⁰² Ibid., Bk VIII, 5, 256b.

¹⁰³ Bahá'u'lláh, *Tablets of Bahá'u'lláh*, p. 187.

¹⁰⁴ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *The Promulgation of Universal Peace*, p. 463.

infinity is potentiality: “*the infinite has a potential existence*”.¹⁰⁵ In other words, the infinite is “what always has something outside of it”,¹⁰⁶ i.e. the ‘one more’ that can be added (or diminished) as noted previously. The infinite is (obviously) never finished, i.e. is always potential. This fits exactly with the Bahá'í teaching that God creates endlessly, i.e. brings new creations into being, i.e. always adding more to what already exists. This means that infinity here is neither a magnitude nor an expanse of space but rather an attribute or quality of being unfinished. Thus, phrases like “the infinite worlds of God” and “this infinite creation” refers to this ongoing process of creation, i.e., actualizing potentials.

Once we realize that infinity is not a quantity of some kind but rather a potential for continued further creation, it is clear that the statement in the Bahá'í Writings do not fall prey to the problems of traversing the infinite and infinite regresses. Moreover, it should also be pointed out that even though the process of creation is never-ending, this does not lead to an infinite regress for parts of creation like the earth, which came into existence at a specific time. Consequently, since each world comes into existence at a particular moment in time, and there is a limit to which the steps can be traced back.

ACTUALITY AND POTENTIALITY: HUMAN DEVELOPMENT, EVOLUTION, AND THE LOGIC OF POTENTIALS

Two of Aristotle's most foundational concepts are ‘actuality’ and ‘potential’ which are widely used throughout the Bahá'í Writings. Potentiality refers to the possibilities for transformation inherent in things. The word ‘potential’ does not refer to a mysterious hidden little ‘thingy’, but rather to the capacity for transformation that something has without destroying what a thing or substance actually is. Only a certain number of transformations can be made in a substance without destroying its identity or essence—and everything is clearly defined by the capacities it has.

¹⁰⁵ Aristotle, *Physics*, Bk III, 6, 206a.

¹⁰⁶ Ibid., 207a.

Hence the folk saying that a sow's ear cannot be turned into a silk purse. There is no potential for that transformation in a sow's ear—nor vice versa. For example, a sheet of paper can be transformed into a crane, a paper boat, a sun visor, or, if you know how, into hard bullet-like projectiles. Possible uses are potentials too. A car can never be transformed into an 800 pound gorilla but it makes a good—maybe too good—paperweight. What all potentials have in common is that to actualize them, to make them manifest, requires an external cause, an actuality that causes them to transform themselves into a variation of their old identities.

This is the rational and metaphysical explanation why Manifestations are necessary to actualize the potentials hidden in humankind. The potentials exist in humanity but without the Manifestation, the fully actualized inspiration and guide Who passes the divine energy into the human realm, humanity's potentials would remain latent, i.e. un-actualized and, in effect, non-existent.

*Through the Teachings of this Day Star of Truth every man will advance and develop until he attaineth the station at which he can manifest all the potential forces with which his inmost true self [essence] hath been endowed. It is for this very purpose that in every age and dispensation the Prophets of God and His chosen Ones have appeared amongst men, and have evinced such power as is born of God and such might as only the Eternal can reveal.*¹⁰⁷

The Manifestation in His divine aspect is a fully actualized being—that is one consequence of the perfection of His divine nature.

Understanding the nature of potentials also resolves an apparent contradiction in the Bahá'í Writings between Bahá'u'lláh's statement that we come from "utter nothingness"¹⁰⁸ and 'Abdu'l-Bahá's claim that nothing can come from absolute nothingness.¹⁰⁹

¹⁰⁷ Bahá'u'lláh, *Gleanings from the Writings of Bahá'u'lláh*, p. 67.

¹⁰⁸ Ibid., p. 61.

¹⁰⁹ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, pp. 323–4.

As the context makes clear, Bahá'u'lláh's statements refer to our relative non-existence in comparison with God. In other words, in relation to God's actuality, the potential existence of humanity is, in effect, non-existence since potentials cannot actualize themselves and act. As 'Abdu'l-Bahá says, "*For absolute non-existence cannot become existence, as it lacks the very capacity [potential] to exist.*"¹¹⁰ No logical contradiction exists because the statements are about different subjects. The concept of potentials also provides us with a rational interpretation of Bahá'u'lláh's statement that copper can be turned into gold and vice versa.¹¹¹ The language of this passage, for example, "*lieth hidden*", "*possible*" and "*can be turned*",¹¹² clearly indicates this statement is about potentials or capacities, which makes it a statement of scientific fact.

Finally, the concepts of potentials and actuality also allow a clear solution to the apparent contradiction between the Bahá'í Writings and the evolution of humankind. For Aristotle and the Bahá'í Writings, while "species and genera are eternal"¹¹³ species evolve over time by actualizing, manifesting or displaying their store of potentials in the physical world but, at least in the case of humankind, they do not change into different species.

*But even when it possesses, in the womb of the mother, a strange form entirely different from its present shape and appearance, it is the embryo of a distinct species and not of an animal: The essence of the species and the innate reality undergo no transformation at all.*¹¹⁴

Can this view be reconciled with modern evolutionary teaching? In our view, it can. All things, be they species or planets, develop according to their potentials, and, for various reasons, all have different outer forms at different stages of existence. Even though

¹¹⁰ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 324.

¹¹¹ Bahá'u'lláh, *Gleanings from the Writings of Bahá'u'lláh*, p. 197.

¹¹² *Ibid.*, p. 197.

¹¹³ Copleston, *A History of Philosophy*, Vol. I, Part II, 68. See Keven Brown, *Evolution and Bahá'í Belief*, pp. 84–5.

¹¹⁴ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 212.

outwardly they may lack certain potentials, inwardly, or essentially they may well have them. We cannot judge strictly by the outer, apparent form at one moment because potentials manifest over a period of time. For example, a tadpole looks and acts nothing like a mature frog. Thus, the conclusion drawn by an examination of bones (outward forms) that by reason of bodily resemblance to other animals, humankind was once an animal is logically unsupported. This is shown by convergent evolution.

Convergent evolution is the process by which unrelated or distantly related organisms evolve similar body forms, coloration, organs, and adaptations. Natural selection can result in evolutionary convergence under several different circumstances.¹¹⁵

In other words, similarity of body form is not necessarily decisive in establishing relatedness. It is important to remember that 'Abdu'l-Bahá does not deny that humankind once appeared in more primitive forms than today; he simply denies the conclusion—supported by convergent evolution—that because of their primitive appearance, our ancestors were animals. He does not deny the data, but rather the conclusion drawn from it. And he does so for good reason: no matter how dissimilar or similar they appear to other species, humans have potentials lacking in animals.

To see what this means, let us perform the following thought experiment. Imagine a population of the alleged common ancestor of apes and humans being subjected to random mutations. We soon realize that even random mutations can only achieve certain results in an organism that has the potential to be affected by the mutation in a certain way. A random mutation in a carrot will not produce a hummingbird. In this population of alleged common ancestors, some had the potential for eventually actualizing human capacities and some did not—and that difference must have always been there. Even if we say that a mutation caused this potential—we have to remember that this effect of the mutation only

¹¹⁵ Eric R Pianka, "Convergent Evolution", <http://www.zo.utexas.edu/courses/THOC/Convergence.html>.

appeared in those that already had the capacity to be affected in a certain way. Not everyone was affected in this way.

At this point it becomes clear that the difference between those that have the potential or capacity for a change that will allow them to manifest certain human abilities and those that lack this potential, is an *essential* difference, a *difference in kind*, not a difference of degree. In other words, even then at the stage of unmanifested potentials, there was already a difference between the two populations despite similarity or even identity of outward appearance. In short, the notion that humans were once essentially animals is not only not supported by data drawn exclusively from surviving bones, but also is not supported by logical reasoning about potentials.

THE FOUR CAUSES: CAUSALITY, PURPOSE, AND THE INTEGRATION OF SCIENCE AND RELIGION

For modern readers accustomed to the language of science, the following quotation from 'Abdu'l-Bahá can be a serious puzzle—especially because it seems to conflict with established modern scientific belief which has dismissed formal and final causes:

For the existence of each and every thing depends upon four causes: the efficient cause, the material cause, the formal cause, and the final cause.¹¹⁶ So this chair has a creator who is a carpenter, a matter which is wood, a form which is that of a chair, and a purpose which is to serve as a seat. Therefore, this chair is essentially originated, for it is preceded by, and its existence is conditioned upon, a cause. This is called essential or intrinsic origination.¹¹⁷

In Aristotle's *Metaphysics* we read,

'Cause' means (1) that from which, as immanent material, a thing comes into being, e.g. the bronze is the cause of the statue and the silver of the saucer, and so are the classes

¹¹⁶ cf. Aristotle, *Physics*, 194b16–195a1.

¹¹⁷ 'Abdu'l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 323.

which include these. (2) The form or pattern, i.e. the definition of the essence ... (3) That from which the change ... first begins; [the efficient cause] e.g. in general the maker ... (4) The end, i.e. that for the sake of which a thing is.¹¹⁸

What exactly does this explanation of causality mean and what are its implications? First, we must note that these are not four separate steps in a process—rather they are all simultaneous and all implicate each other. These are four aspects of reality that we can identify mentally but, like the two sides of a coin, they are not actually separable, —distinguishable but not separable.

‘Abdu’l-Bahá’s explanation is important because it has far-reaching implications about the nature of creation, the harmony of science and religion, and understanding of evolution. His explanation of causality commits the Bahá’í Writings to a teleological view of the natural, phenomenal world, a viewpoint in which all entities, and, most obviously, all living entities¹¹⁹ exist for a purpose which dictates the form and even the materials used. According to the Writings, the human potential to know God and to love Him is “*the generating impulse and the primary purpose underlying the whole of creation.*”¹²⁰ In short, creation has a purpose or final cause. This is true for all particular created things. As ‘Abdu’l-Bahá says, “*All beings, whether universal or particular, were created perfect and complete from the beginning. The most one can say is that their perfections only become apparent gradually.*”¹²¹ Their—and our—individual purpose is to actualize our latent potentials to be ‘the best we can be’.

At this point, it is important to clear up a common misunderstanding about the final cause. As Aristotle pointed out, final causes even work in nature because there is no need for a conscious final cause. No conscious craftsman is needed in the operations of nature. In fact, final causes in nature are easy to illustrate.

¹¹⁸ Aristotle, *Metaphysics*, Bk V, 2, 1013a.

¹¹⁹ Aristotle, *On the Soul*, Bk III, 11, 434a.

¹²⁰ Bahá’u’lláh, *Gleanings from the Writings of Bahá’u’lláh*, p. 65.

¹²¹ ‘Abdu’l-Bahá, *Some Answered Questions*, p. 229.

Nobody sows iron filings in hopes of harvesting sunflowers—and the reason is simple: the processes going on in iron filings are limited to producing rusty iron filings, i.e. the processes are limited and can only develop to a certain end point. No conscious craftsman is required to secure this final goal because the final goal is already inherent in the matter of the iron filings, in the formal atomic structure of each iron atom, in the process (efficient cause) of interaction between iron atoms and water molecules which led to the particular actualization of potentials, i.e. final cause. As will be shown below, the physical final causality seen here is only the simplest physical manifestation of final causality at a higher level.

Two comments are in order. First, it is difficult to avoid the conclusion that the teaching that the universe has a purpose brings the Bahá'í Writings into conflict with modern science which simply does not recognize formal and final causality in any form. This is a major challenge for the Bahá'í teaching of the harmony or unity of science and religion. Second, although the foregoing explanation about the iron filings shows how formal and final causation are actually involved in all physical processes, modern science finds it impossible to accommodate these concepts. The reason is simple: formal and final causality could possibly open the door to invoking supernatural causes in nature.

Paradoxically, 'Abdu'l-Bahá's four-fold causality is also important because it offers an opportunity to harmonise science and religion: science deals with the material and efficient causes and religion and its 'handmaid', i.e. philosophy, deal with the formal and final causes. Together they provide a complete understanding of natural phenomena. Science, of course, is concerned with those aspects of physical nature that are: (1) quantifiable; (2) physical/material; (3) predictable; (4) testable and repeatable; (5) falsifiable; (6) physically observable. Moreover, science is only interested in understanding in strictly material or physical terms; for its purposes—physical understanding—material and efficient understanding is sufficient. However, such is not the case if we want complete explanations. The problem is simple: nature cannot explain itself. It cannot explain the laws of nature itself in strictly physical terms

since any attempt to do so leads to an infinite regress. If the interactions of atoms created the laws, where and how did atoms get the capacity to do so? If other forces or particles gave these attributes, where and how did they get the capacity to do so ... ad infinitum? Nor can a purely physical science explain how atoms and subatomic particles gained the attributes that allow them to influence other particles or be influenced by them—without succumbing to infinite regress. At one point or another, a formal and final cause are necessary to stop the infinite regress and to provide a complete—or more complete—explanation of natural phenomena because then we can begin to understand why nature has some of the general attributes it has, e.g. a progress from less organized forms of being to more highly organized forms. In short, formal and final causes are necessary to develop a coherent world-view—which is exactly what religions provide us.

CONCLUSION

Although this paper is not an exposition of every philosophically difficult passage in the Bahá'í Writings, it will have fulfilled its purpose if it has encouraged readers to look at other such passages in the Writings—or perhaps re-examine those mentioned here—and begin their own independent investigation of truth. The reward will be uncovering new layers of meaning in the Writings and gaining a fuller understanding of the deep philosophical explanations that holds them together into a coherent and rational world view.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

GENERAL

- ‘Abdu’l-Bahá. *‘Abdu’l-Bahá in London*. Bahá’í Publishing Trust, London. 1987.
- _____. “Commentary on ‘I was a Hidden Treasure ...’” (*Tafsír-i-Hadith-i-Kuntu Kanzan Makhfiyyan*). Provisional translation by Moojan Momen, https://bahai-library.com/abdul-baha_kuntu_kanzan_makhfiyyan/
- _____. *Foundations of World Unity*. Bahá’í Publishing Trust. 1979.
- _____. *Paris Talks: Addresses Given by ‘Abdu’l-Bahá in Paris in 1911–1912*. Bahá’í Publishing Trust, London. 1969.
- _____. “Pilgrim note.” *Star of the West*, VII:18 (19 January 1917), p. 187. Derived from the Kinney–Beede–Thompson Notes taken at ‘Akká, 6 July 1909.
- _____. *The Promulgation of Universal Peace: Talks Delivered by ‘Abdu’l-Bahá during His Visit to the United States and Canada in 1912*. Bahá’í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 1982.
- _____. *The Secret of Divine Civilization*. Bahá’í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 2007.
- _____. *Selections from the Writings of ‘Abdu’l-Bahá*. Tr. Marziah Gail. Bahá’í World Centre, Haifa. 1978.
- _____. *Some Answered Questions*. 2nd ed. Bahá’í World Centre, Haifa. 2014.
- _____. *Tablets of the Divine Plan*. Bahá’í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 1993.
- _____. *Tablets of Abdul-Baha Abbas*. Vol. 1. Bahai Publishing Society, Chicago. 1909.
- _____. “Tablet to Dr Auguste Forel”, in *The Bahá’í World 1968–1973*, Vol. XV, pp. 37–43.
- _____. *Tablets to the Hague*, 2021. Bahá’í Publications Australia, 2023. Available www.bahai.org/library/authoritative-texts/abdul-baha/tablets-hague-abdul-baha/

Bibliography

- _____. *The Will and Testament of ‘Abdu’l-Bahá*. Bahá’í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 1935.
- Aquinas, St. Thomas. *Summa Theologica* (Complete). Library of Alexandria. 1955.
- Aristotle. *The Categories: A Text from Aristotle’s Organon*. Tr. E. M. Edghill. Createspace Independent Publishing Platform. 2017. https://homepages.uc.edu/~martinj/History_of_Logic/Aristotle/Aristotle%20-%20Categories%20-%20Edghill%20trans.pdf
- _____. *De Anima*. Tr. J. A. Smith. Clarendon Press, Oxford. 1931.
- _____. *Metaphysics*. Bks I–XIV. Tr. W. D. Ross. <https://classics.mit.edu/Aristotle/metaphysics.html>
- _____. *On the Soul*. Bks I–III. Tr. J. A. Smith. <https://classics.mit.edu/Aristotle/soul.html>
- _____. *Physics*. Bks I–VIII. Trs R. P. Hardie and R. K. Gaye. <https://classics.mit.edu/Aristotle/physics.html>
- Bahá’í News*. National Spiritual Assembly USA, Wilmette, Ill. 1924–1990.
- Bahá’í Prayers: A Selection of Prayers Revealed by Bahá’u’lláh, The Báb, and ‘Abdu’l-Bahá*. Bahá’í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 1991.
- Bahá’u’lláh. *Call of the Divine Beloved: Selected Mystical Works of Bahá’u’lláh*. Bahá’í World Centre, Haifa. 2019.
- _____. *Epistle to the Son of the Wolf*. Bahá’í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 1976.
- _____. *Gems of Divine Mysteries*. Bahá’í World Centre, Haifa. 2002.
- _____. *Gleanings from the Writings of Bahá’u’lláh*. Bahá’í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 1978.
- _____. *The Hidden Words of Bahá’u’lláh*. Bahá’í Publishing Trust, London. 1986.
- _____. *The Kitáb-i-Aqdas: The Most Holy Book*. Bahá’í World Centre, Haifa. 1992.
- _____. *The Kitáb-i-Íqán: The Book of Certitude*. Tr. Shoghi Effendi. Bahá’í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 2003.
- _____. *The Pen of Glory: Selected Works of Bahá’u’lláh*. Bahá’í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 2008.

- _____. *Prayers and Meditations*. Tr. Shoghi Effendi. Bahá'í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 1987.
- _____. *The Proclamation of Bahá'u'lláh to the Kings and Leaders of the World*. Bahá'í World Centre, Haifa. 1967.
- _____. *Summons of the Lord of Hosts: Tablets of Bahá'u'lláh*. Bahá'í World Centre, Haifa. 2002.
- _____. *Tablets of Bahá'u'lláh revealed after the Kitáb-i-Aqdas*. Bahá'í World Centre, Haifa. 1978.
- Barbour, Ian G. *When Science Meets Religion: Enemies, Strangers, or Partners?* HarperCollins Religious. 2000.
- Brown, Keven (ed). *Evolution and Bahá'í Belief: 'Abdu'l-Bahá's Response to Nineteenth-Century Darwinism*. Studies in the Bábí and Bahá'í Religions, Vol. 12. Kalimát Press, Los Angeles. 2001.
- Clarke, W. Norris S.J. *The One and the Many: A Contemporary Thomistic Metaphysics*. University of Notre Dame Press. 2014.
- _____. *The Philosophical Approach to God: A New Thomistic Perspective*. Fordham University Press. 2007.
- Cooper, Helen, & Goodall, Ella. *Daily Lessons Received at 'Akká*. Bahá'í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 1979.
- Edel, Abraham. *Aristotle and His Philosophy*. 2nd edn. Routledge. 1995.
- Feser, Edward. *Aristotle's Revenge: The Metaphysical Foundations of Physical and Biological Sciences*. Editiones Scholasticae, Neunkirchen-Seelscheid, Germany. 2019.
<https://www.booktopia.com.au/aristotles-revenge-edward-feser/book/9783868382006.html>
- Kant: Anthropology from a Pragmatic Viewpoint*. Ed. Robert B. Louden. Cambridge University Press. 2006.
- Lights of Guidance: A Bahá'í Reference File*. Compiled by Helen Hornby. Bahá'í Publishing Trust, New Delhi. 1994.
- Lyotard, Jean-François. *The Postmodern Condition: A Report on Knowledge*. University of Minnesota Press. 1984.
- Maḥmúd-i-Zarqání, Mírzá. *Maḥmúd's Diary: The Diary of Mírzá Maḥmúd-i-Zarqání chronicling 'Abdu'l-Bahá's journey to America*. Tr. Mohi Sobhani. George Ronald, Oxford. 1998.

Bibliography

- Nakhjavani, Violette. *The Maxwells of Montreal Middle years 1923–1937, Late years 1937–1952*. Vol II. George Ronald Publisher, 2012.
- The Oxford Companion to Philosophy*. Ted Honderich. Oxford University Press. 2005. www.oxfordreference.com/display/10.1093/acref/9780199264797.001.0001/acref-9780199264797
- Pinker, Steven. *The Blank Slate: The Modern Denial of Human Nature*. Penguin Group. 2003.
- Ross, William David. *Aristotle*. Methuen, London. 1923.
- Sartre, Jean-Paul. *Being and Nothingness: An Essay in Phenomenological Ontology*. Tr. Hazel Estella Barnes. Citadel Press. 2001.
- Scholarship, Extracts from the Writings of Bahá'u'lláh and 'Abdu'l-Bahá and from the letters of Shoghi Effendi and the Universal House of Justice*. Bahá'í World Centre, Haifa. 1995.
- Shoghi Effendi. *Arohanui: Letters from Shoghi Effendi to New Zealand*. Bahá'í Publishing Trust, Suva, Fiji. 1982.
- _____. *Directives of the Guardian*. Compiled Gertrude Garrida. Bahá'í Publishing Trust of India, New Delhi. 1973.
- _____. *God Passes By*. Bahá'í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 1974.
- _____. *High Endeavours: Messages to Alaska*. Alaska. 1976.
- _____. *Letters from the Guardian to Australia and New Zealand: 1923–1957*. The National Spiritual Assembly of the Bahá'ís of Australia. 1970.
- _____. *Messages to the Bahá'í World: 1950–1957*. Bahá'í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 1971.
- _____. *Messages to the Indian Subcontinent 1924–1957*. Bahá'í Publishing Trust, New Delhi. 1995.
- _____. *Principles of Bahá'í Administration*. Bahá'í Publishing Trust, London. 1982.
- _____. *The Promised Day Is Come*. Bahá'í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 1980.
- _____. *The Unfolding Destiny of the British Bahá'í Community: The Messages from the Guardian of the Bahá'í Faith to the Bahá'í Community of the British Isles*. Bahá'í Publishing Trust, UK. 1981.
- _____. *The World Order of Bahá'u'lláh*. Bahá'í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 1974.

-
- _____. *This Decisive Hour: Messages From Shoghi Effendi To The North American Bahá'ís 1932–1946*. Bahá'í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 2002.
- Smith, Peter. *The Bahá'í Religion: A Short Introduction to Its History and Teachings*. George Ronald, Oxford. 1988.
- The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. <https://plato.stanford.edu>
- True, Corinne & Afán, Mírzá Hádí Shírází. Table talks and notes taken at Acca by Tr. Dr Amínu'lláh (Amín) Faríd. Bahai Publishing Society, Chicago. 1907.
- The Universal House of Justice. *Century of Light*. Bahá'í World Centre, Haifa. 2001.
- _____. *Messages from the Universal House of Justice 1963–1986: The Fourth Epoch of the Formative Age*. Bahá'í Publishing Trust, Wilmette, Ill. 2009.
- Veatch, Henry Babcock. *Aristotle: A Contemporary Appreciation*. Indiana University Press. 1974.
- Wild, John Daniel. *Introduction to Realistic Philosophy*. Editiones Scholasticae. 2014.

WORKS BY IAN KLUGE⁴¹⁵

- “Apologetics: A Personal Vision”, (2001–2009). Paper delivered to the Apologetics Panel, Association of Bahá'í Studies annual conference, Seattle, 2 September 2001. Argument for the need for and practice of academic defence of the Bahá'í Faith.
- “The Aristotelian Substratum of the Bahá'í Writings”, in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 4 (2003). There is a pervasive and far-reaching congruence of Aristotle and the Bahá'í Writings. This Aristotelian substratum makes it possible to resolve many apparent paradoxes in the Bahá'í Writings.
- “Bahá'í Ontology, Part One: An Initial Reconnaissance”, in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 6 (2005). An initial survey and explication of the ontology implicit in the Bahá'í Writings, particularly regarding the nature of human existence; the philosophy of Nietzsche and some of his modern successors.

⁴¹⁵ See <https://bahai-library.com/>

- “Bahá’í Ontology, Part Two: Further Explorations”, in *Lights of ‘Irfán*, 7 (2006). A further exploration of Bahá’í ontology: becoming and change; substance, soul, and identity; the nature of being and nothingness; time; the one and the many; the nature of things; what makes something real; social ontology; Buddhism and Hegel.
- “The Bahá’í Philosophy of Human Nature”, in *Journal of Bahá’í Studies*, 27:1–2 (2017). How the essential reality of the individual—the human soul and its powers of rational thought, will-power, memory, and reflection—translates these capacities into physical action through the intermediary of the brain.
- “The Bahá’í Writings and Kant’s ‘Perpetual Peace’”, in *Lights of ‘Irfán*, 13 (2012). Kant’s Perpetual Peace: A Philosophical Sketch (1795) outlined practical steps necessary to end war through the establishment of a “league of peace” and a union of nations. This essay traces similarities between Kant’s and Bahá’í proposals.
- “The Bahá’í Writings and the Buddhist Doctrine of Emptiness: An Initial Survey”, in *Lights of ‘Irfán*, 20 (2019). Agreements and convergence of the Buddhist concept of sunyata with the Bahá’í Writings.
- “Bahá’í Writings, Philosophy and Environment”. Paper delivered at the 33rd Annual ABS Conference “Environments”, Washington DC, 13–14 August 2009. Philosophy is one of the most under-utilized resources in the quest for an improved psycho-spiritual environment and an improved relationship to the natural world.
- “The Bahá’í Writings: A Meta-ethical Excursion”, in *Lights of ‘Irfán*, 15 (2014). Philosophical examination of the Bahá’í Writings’ ethical teachings, how they relate to the major ethical systems proposed in the past, and how they deal with some of the difficulties inherent in past systems.
- “Buddhism and the Bahá’í Writings: An Ontological Rapprochement”, in *Lights of ‘Irfán*, 8 (2007). The Bahá’í Faith and Buddhism are two different and apparently incompatible religions, but they share fundamental ontological principles. Thus, their analyses of reality and what it means ‘to be’ are largely compatible.

- “Call into Being: Introduction to a Bahá'í Existentialism”, in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 4 (2003). An existential approach to the Writings and their Aristotelian substratum provides a bridge between an abstract understanding and the actual exigencies of daily life.
- “Coming Out” (2001). Short, humorous play depicting the confusions that can result from trying to be too delicate in announcing one's commitment to the Bahá'í Faith.
- “Ernst Bloch's Philosophy of Hope and the Bahá'í Writings”, in *Studies in Bahá'í Philosophy*, Vol. 1 (2012). This Marxist thinker, like the Bahá'í perspective, adheres to an evolutionary worldview: reality is a teleological process in which all things strive to actualize their inherent potentials and complete themselves in their highest possible condition.
- “Ethics Based on Science Alone?”, in *Studies in Bahá'í Philosophy*, Vol. 4 (2015). A critique of the idea that ethics can be based on science alone; questions of legitimacy and authority in ethics; reflections on Sam Harris' book *The Moral Landscape: How Science Can Determine Human Values*.
- “Freedom and the Bahá'í Writings”, in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 19 (2018). Bahá'í philosophy is based on principles of reason and non-contradiction. It is coherent because its teachings are interdependent and mutually supportive. The Bahá'í Writings cover a spectrum of issues about freedom and the metaphysical basis of free will.
- “Grand Narratives and the Bahá'í Writings”, in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 18 (2017). Exploration of Bahá'í teachings, inspired by the Guardian's call to “analyse the principles of the Faith and to correlate them with the modern aspects of philosophy and science”, and on the thought of Oswald Spengler, Arnold Toynbee and Pitirim Sorokin. https://irfancolloquia.org/pdf/lights18_kluge_grand_narratives.pdf
- “La Raison dans les Ecrits baha'is: Son importance, sa fonction, son usage” (2013). French translation of “Reason and the Bahá'í Writings”.
- “Neoplatonism and the Bahá'í Writings”, Part 1, in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 11 (2010). An examination of the Enneads of Plotinus and Proclus' *The Elements of Theology* in Bahá'í terms, and as an aid

- in understanding the nature of the philosophy embedded in the Bahá'í Writings.
- "Neoplatonism and the Bahá'í Writings", Part 2, in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 12 (2011). An examination of the *Enneads* of Plotinus and Proclus' *The Elements of Theology* in Bahá'í terms, and as an aid in understanding the nature of the philosophy embedded in the Bahá'í Writings.
- "The New Atheism: A Bahá'í Perspective", in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 13 (2009/2012). Logically and philosophically speaking, the works of the "new atheists" are deeply flawed and are often in disagreement with the Bahá'í Writings—though on a number of issues there are points of agreement.
- "Nietzsche and the Bahá'í Writings: A First Look", in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 17 (2016). First version, missing footnotes.
- "Nietzsche and the Bahá'í Writings: A First Look" (reprint, with footnotes), in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 18 (2017). Bahá'í Writings and Nietzsche's philosophy share a surprising number of features in common that allow us to re-vision Nietzsche from a new perspective. Both analyse reality in Aristotelian terms: actuality/potential; essence/attribute, matter/form, etc.
- "Nietzsche et les écrits bahá'ís: Une première approche" (2017). Translation of "Nietzsche and the Bahá'í Writings: A First Look".
- "Philosophy Special Interest Group Sessions at the 38th Annual ABS Conference, 2014: Conference Report", in *Studies in Bahá'í Philosophy*, vols 2–3 (2014). Summary of four presentations: K. Naimi's "Thinking Sociologically About Independent Investigation"; I. Kluge's "Insufficiencies of Secular Humanism"; M. Sergeev's "Bahá'í Faith and Modernity"; and J. Howden's "Unconscious Civilization".
- "Postmodernism and the Bahá'í Writings", in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 9 (2008). Whilst the Bahá'í Writings and postmodernism share a variety of ideas at a superficial level, on fundamental issues of ontology, epistemology, philosophical anthropology (theory of man), ethics and cultural theory, they are incompatible.
- "Process Philosophy and the Bahá'í Writings: An Initial Exploration", in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 5 (2004). An examination of the Bahá'í

Writings in relationship to modern process philosophy (e.g. Whitehead, Hartshorne, Cobb, and de Chardin), and some of the issues related to the formulation of a unique Bahá'í version of process thought; some relevant topics.

"Procrustes' Bed: The Insufficiency of Secular Humanism", in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 16 (2015). Secular humanism's inability to accommodate the universal presence of religion in human nature undermines its claim to be a viable worldview for humankind and diminishes its internal coherence.

"Reason and the Bahá'í Writings", in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 14 (2013). The Bahá'í Faith has much to say on the importance of reason, logic, and a "rational God", but the mind alone is not sufficient to attain transrational understanding. This paper examines the uses and limitations of reason in light of cultural differences. https://bahai-library.com/kluge_reason_writings_2013/

"Reason and the Bahá'í Writings: The Use and Misuse of Logic and Persuasion". Workshop presentation at ABS conference, Seattle, September 2001. How to study the Bahá'í Writings through the use of logic.

"Relativism and the Bahá'í Writings", in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 9 (2008). A strident rejection of the philosophical concept of relativism as being incompatible with a Bahá'í perspective, and a critique of Momen's 'Relativism' article on that basis.

Review of "Bahá'í Ethics in Light of Scripture", by Udo Schaefer, in *Journal of Bahá'í Studies*, 25:1–2 (2015).

Review of "Bahá'í Faith in America as Panopticon 1963–1997", by Juan Cole (1999).

Review of "Legacies of the Heart", by Larry Rowdon, in *Journal of Bahá'í Studies*, 9:3 (1999).

"The Light" (2001). True life war story of an unexpected encounter with the miraculous in a large asylum for the incurably insane.

"Some Answered Questions: A Philosophical Perspective", in *Lights of 'Irfán*, 10 (2009). Philosophical foundations of the Bahá'í teachings, including ontology, theology, epistemology, philosophical anthropology and psychology, and personal and social ethics.

GLOBAL FAITH BOOK SERIES

Books Published:

Studies in Bahá'í Philosophy: Selected Articles. GFBS Vol. 1, ed. with an Introduction by Mikhail Sergeev. Boston, MA: M•GRAPHICS, 2018, 294 pp., ISBN 978-1-940220-90-1

Peter Terry. *Proofs of the Existence of God.* GFBS Vol. 2, Boston, MA: M•GRAPHICS, 2019, 164 pp., ISBN 978-1-950319-05-3

Studies in Bahá'í Epistemology: Essays and Commentary. GFBS Vol. 3, ed. with an Introduction by Mikhail Sergeev. Boston, MA: M•GRAPHICS, 2021, 370 pp., ISBN 978-1-950319-62-6

Religion and Science in the Globalized World: GFBS Vol. 4, ed. with an Introduction by Mikhail Sergeev. Boston, MA: M•GRAPHICS, 2022, 356 pp., ISBN 978-1-950319-94-7

Harold Rosen. *Eye to the Ages: A Bahá'í-Inspired Philosophy of History.* GFBS Vol. 5, Boston, MA: M•GRAPHICS, 2024, 458 pp., ISBN 978-1-960533-35-7

This book honors the memory of the late Ian Kluge (1948–2023), a Bahá'í philosopher, author, and educator.

The first part of the book consists of the *Readers in Bahá'í Philosophy and Theology* that Ian Kluge made for the students of his two courses—one on Bahá'í philosophy and the other on Bahá'í theology—that he has taught at the Wilmette Institute for many years.

Each Reader is divided into units or sections. Ian Kluge wrote the introductory essays for the units and compiled various quotations from Bahá'í Scriptural Writings to illustrate the central theme of each section.

The second part of the book offers a scholarly application of the course materials authored by Ian Kluge himself. His book-length essay, *Some Answered Questions: A Philosophical Perspective*, represents Kluge's most significant contribution to Bahá'í scholarship.

A list of all publications by Ian Kluge on Bahá'í philosophy and theology, available on the Bahá'í Library Online, is provided in the bibliography section.



IAN KLUGE (1948–2023)

Einhard Franz Hinrich “Ian” Kluge was born in Germany to a Prussian atheist father and a German-Jewish mother (and Nazi concentration camp survivor) who were refugees from what is now Poland and Russia. In 1954, the family moved to Canada, where Ian grew up. He received his B.A. (honors) at Notre Dame University of Nelson, B.C. He went on to pursue graduate studies at the University of Alberta, where he received an M.A. and completed his doctoral studies, though he did not complete his dissertation.

Ian's talents were many and diverse. He was a poet who published at least three volumes of poetry and a playwright whose five plays were produced in British Columbia, where he resided. He published a literary study of the American poet Conrad Aiken. He also published regular columns in various local newspapers.

He was best known among Bahá'ís as a philosopher and a writer on comparative religion, meta-ethics, and science and religion. Thirty-five essays of his are available at the online Bahá'í Library https://bahai-library.com/author/Ian_Kluge. One friend of his noted that he “single-handedly raised the depth and sophistication and breadth of our discourse in the correlation of the Faith to the Western Philosophical tradition” and “his work will remain foundational for anyone exploring similar terrain for a good time to come.”

